Environmental Complaints Log

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status
110723a	23/07/2011	Ms. Law at Victoria Centre by ICC no. 1- 303887687	North Point	She concerned that Highways Department published a notice in their Management Office about construction works will be conducted from 0700 hours to 2300 hours during July to December 2011 including Saturday, Sunday and public holiday.	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 28 July 2011 2) RSS confirmed that the notice was prepared by Victoria Centre 'a Management office to their resident and the advice was only given on the extension construction works (for Contract HY/2009/15) to 7am-9pm Monday to Saturday except Public Holidays and Sundays. 3) As a mitigation measure to minimize the noise nuisance in the vicinity of the residents, rock breaking activities will be started at 8am and is expected to be completed by mid-August 2011. 4) No noise exceedance was recorded at construction noise monitoring station at Victoria Centre on 19 and 25 July 2011 during daytime and evening time period while breaking and excavation works were observed during monitoring. 5) In conclusion, it was related to the construction works under Contract HY/2009/15 and mitigation measure was provided. The complainant was satisfied with the arrangement and no further complaint was received after proposed measures.	Closed
110723b	23/07/2011	Ms. Yau at Block 2, Victoria Centre by ICC no. 1- 304013959	North Point	Reclamation work was conducted at Causeway Bay Typhoon Shelter at 7am on 23 July 2011. She complained that the works shall be started later to minimize the noise nuisance to the vicinity of the residents in early morning	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 8 August 2011 2) RSS confirmed to start the rock breaking activities for Contract HY/2009/15 at 8am as a mitigation measure to minimize the noise nuisance in the vicinity of the residents. 3) With reference to the construction noise monitoring at Victoria Centre, no exceedance was recorded on 19 and 25 July 2011 during daytime while breaking and excavation works were undertaken during monitoring 4) In conclusion, it was related to the construction works under Contract HY/2009/15 and mitigation measure was provided. The complainant was satisfied with the arrangement and no further complaint was received after proposed measures.	Closed
110727a	27/07/2011	Mr. Law from Victoria Centre Management Office by ICC no. 1-304616162	North Point	It was complained by Mr. Law from Victoria Centre Management Office on 27 July 2011 regarding construction noise generated by the construction operations of	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 28 July 2011 2) RSS confirmed to start the rock breaking activities for Contract HY/2009/15 at 8am as a mitigation measure to minimize the noise nuisance in the vicinity of the residents. 3) No noise exceedance was recorded at construction noise monitoring station at Victoria Centre on 25 July and	Closed



Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status
				Central-Wanchai Bypass at noon rather than in morning at 7am.	4 August 2011 during daytime and evening time period while breaking and excavation works were observed during monitoring. 5) In conclusion, it was related to the construction works under Contract HY/2009/15 and mitigation measure was provided. No further complaint from complainant was received after proposed the mitigation measure.	
110727b	27/07/2011	Ms. Chiu by ICC no.1-304615409	North Point	Noise nuisance from the excavation works for the Highways Department adjacent to the Victoria Centre was conducted from 7am	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 28 July 2011 2) With reference to the construction noise monitoring at Vitoria Centre, no exceedance was recorded on 25 July and 4 and 10 August 2011 during daytime while breaking and excavation works were undertaken during monitoring. 3) As a mitigation measure to minimize the noise nuisance in the vicinity of the residents, rock breaking activities will be started at 8am.	Closed
	07/08/2011				4) However, complainant did not satisfy with the response on the noise nuisance from the rock-breaking during morning in front of Victoria Centre and then further complaint via 1823 on 7 August 2011. 5) Highways contacted the complainant on 15 August 2011 that the noisy rock breaking operation had been completed.	
					Remarks: There will be counted as two complaints in this complaint log.	
110730	30/07/2011	Mr. Tsui by ICC no. 1-305074350	Central	Construction noise generated by operations of Central-Interchange which is near the spa room at Four-Season Hotel. Also, the complaint enquired the commencement time of the construction on Saturday.	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 1 August 2011. 2) RSS confirmed that noisy plants from 2 vibratory hammers have been conducted in alternating manner for piling and drilling works for diaphragm wall construction. 3) With reference to the construction noise monitoring at IFC Western End of Podium, no exceedance was recorded on 4 August 2011 during monitoring while sheet piling works were undertaken during monitoring. 4) In order to reduce the noise impact to nearby noise sensitive receivers, Contractor has been implemented the following noise mitigation measures: - Erection of acoustic lining at the hoarding next to Four Seasons Hotel; - Temporary noise barrier with extended acoustic lining; - Reduced in plant such that only have one vibration hammer operating at the west side near Four	Closed



Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status
					Seasons Hotel instead of 2 5) In conclusion, it was related to the construction works under Contract HY/2009/18 and mitigation measure was provided. The complainant was satisfied with the arrangement and no further complaint was received after proposed measures.	
110810	10/08/2011	Mr. Yip by ICC no. 1 – 306740207	North Point	Muddy water was discharged from work site to the seafront near Oil Street during heavy rain. The environmental protection measures were not good enough and are needed to rectify.	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 17 August 2011. 2) Confirmed with RE, Muddy water was caused by a heap of earth being washed to the sea by heavy rain. The heap of earth was referred as a small stockpile placed close to the seafront in front of Oil Street within the site area under handover transition period from contract HY/2009/11 to contract HY/2009/19. The necessary mitigation measures to protect the small stockpile against rainfall were missing at the time of complaint. 3) Due to the missing of mitigation measures to protect the small stockpile during handover transition period, loose material was washed into the harbour when heavy rain came. Muddy water was formed and dispersed in the sea that caused the water quality and visual concern to the public. The complaint was considered as valid. 4) Contractors were advised to relocate the loose materials away from the coastline as far as practicable. Any loose material placed which needed to be placed near the coastline shall be properly compacted or covered as appropriate. To avoid any further environmental deficiency, Contractors shall ensure all necessary environmental mitigation measures will not be missing during site area handover.	Closed
110817	17/08/2011	ICC no. 1- 307657681	North Point	Visual impact generated by light from a large amount of spot-lights on the barge during mid-night nearby City Garden.	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 23 August 2011 2) RSS confirmed that some non-essential lights were turned on during night-time period which caused the nuisance to the nearby residents. In addition, absence of lighting shields at flood lights results in visual glare to the complaint at night-time. 3) Follow-up action had been taken by contractor that switches off all non-essential lights to minimized nuisance to the nearby residents. The complainant satisfied to the practice and no further complaint was received after that.	Close
110826	26/08/2011	Grand Hyatt and a complainant by ICC	Wan Chai	Construction noise and vibration nuisance generated from the works at Convention Avenue and inside the HKCEC1	Confirmed with the Resident Site Staff that the construction works were referred to the Contractor HK/2009/01. The Excavator mounted breaker at Convention Avenue	Closed



Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status
				reclamation area.	and Drilling rig at HKCEC1 reclamation area were the dominant construction noise source during this period. 3) The drilling rig at HKCEC1 reclamation area and excavator mounted breaker at Convention Avenue were then temporary suspended after received the complaint. 4) Investigation revealed that the erected noise barrier (4m cantilevered movable noise barrier for the drilling rig and 1m movable noise barrier for the excavator mounted breaker) were not located close to the plants to provide adequate noise screening. 5) Contractor was advised to avoid concurrent operation of construction plants at site. Further enhancement of movable noise barriers at HKCEC1 and providing noise enclosure for the excavator mounted breaker at Convention Avenue are needed. 6) Further site investigation and checking on 31 August and 7 September 2011 revealed that the implemented noise mitigation measures were in proper and minimize the noise impact.	
110826A	26/08/2011	A complaint letter from Mr. Au of Cayley Property of City Garden	North Point	Harbor front adjacent to their water intake suction which caused 3 times of system breakdown of the sea water pump on 9, 22 and 25 August 2011.	1) It was referred by AECOM to ET on 29 August 2011 2) Confirmed with the Resident Site Staff that the construction works were referred to the Contractors HY/2009/11 and HY/2009/19. 3) The pump is located on the site area of HY/2009/19 4) A temporary garbage defender was installed on 23 July 2011 by HY/2009/11 and the shape of the defender was adjusted on 8 August 2011 in order to excluse the outfall. 5) An ad hoc inspection of the effectiveness of garbage defender was conducted with RSS (CWB project team), contractor of HY/2009/11 and HY/2009/19 and IECon 29 August 2011. Inspection report of it was submitted to RSS on 19 September 2011. 5) Daily cleaning near the water intake was conducted twice a day by contractor HY/2009/19. 6) In response to City Garden request, the contractors have set up the temporary garbage defender in function and collect the floating refuses, but cannot eliminate all refuses, in particular the refuse come from sea bed from entering the intake. 6) According to the complaint letter from Cayley Property, the outcomes of the preventive measures were not complying wih their expectation. 7) During on-site inspection, floating refuses observed	Waiting RSS respond

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status
					occasionally outside the garbage defender. No conclusion could be made for the source of these floating refuses. On the other hand, some of the floating refuses were observed immigrating in the protective zone during investigation 8) All daily cleaning actions had been taken by contractor to minimize floating refuse inside the construction site. It was noted that the intake (land side) is open assess to public, so that many activities such as fishing, feeding fish were conducted there even though a notice has already hoisted. Also, tripping of rubbish by the passers-by could result in a lot of rubbish accumulated around the intake point. 9) Referring to the record provided by CPML, there were a lot of nylon/ plastic bags and nylon wire mesh that matched those rubbishes generated from the public activities. 10) Contractors have fulfilled the requirement of site cleanness and no exceedance was recorded during Water Quality Monitoring. It is consider the cause of this complaint is not related to project and environmental issue in this project as well. No more complaint received after ad-hoc inspection	